Roles of Family Physicians in Improving Diabetes Care in Family Medicine, Review

Authors:

1- SomayaEbrahim Mansour Aljedeba

2- Mashaelabdullahyousefrefaie

3- Salwa Mohammed Ahmed Majrashi

4- Nada Mohammed HasserHakami

5- Amnah Essa Abu lahsah

6- Afnan Ahmad Mohammad Alhazmi

7- Ghadeer Ali Musa Shibly

8- Omaymah Ibrahim yahyaDaak

Abstract:

Current study aiming to highlight the strategies of improving diabetes care in primary care setting by family doctors (FDs), and the roles of FDs, to educate the patients in self-management and adherent to early screening and prevention strategies. Conducted a detailed search among electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Google scholar, searching in literature for articles related to diabetes care in primary care. Studies were

included as publication up to 2017, September. Professional method standards can be taken into consideration reliable only insofar as they cause improved patient care. To this day, diabetes mellitus CPGs appear to have actually had little result on physicians' practices. Research studies such as ours have determined suboptimal diabetes management in family medicine; the challenge now is to create reliable methods to translate CPGs right into technique to improve diabetic issues care in health care. The motivation of the doctor to accomplish an excellent outcome could remain in conflict with the patient's own motivation to lead his very own life. FDs appear to be in need of communication abilities to integrate the numerous assumptions of patients as well as medical professionals concerning diabetes mellitus care.

Introduction:

The prevalence of diabetes is increasing. In 2012, this disease was directly in charge of 1.5 million fatalities around the world [1]. Reducing the rate of diabetes mellitus is just one of the 9 international targets of the World Health Organization for resolving non-communicable conditions [1]. It is approximated that most of the patients with diabetes mellitus obtain their care from a family doctor [2]. The intricacy, as well as chronicity of diabetes, offers unique difficulties for family physicians, whose major obligation is the testing as well as prevention of diabetes-related issues [2].

Today's clinical system is optimized for the delivery of acute, anecdotal care by individual doctors, yet the primary worry on the system is from chronic health problems that are not efficiently taken care of under the current healthcare system [3,4]

To aid physicians in this regard, professional advising boards in Canada, the USA and the UK have actually developed treatment guidelines for medical care doctors to advertise

thorough care and effective management of patients with diabetes mellitus. The significant benefits of diabetes clinical method guidelines (CPGs) are the standardization of care and also improved patient end results [5]. However, current literature on doctor technique behavior shows that GPG are not widely applied in the everyday method. A number of scientists have suggested that effective growth, as well as dissemination of standards, must determine as well as address barriers to execution in practice setups [6,7,8] Obstacles pointed out in the literary works specific to adherence to standards for diabetic issues management consist of: a requirement for education and learning; absence of time and lack of confidence in medical skills; complexity; a requirement for reliable charting systems (e.g. flow sheets) to boost quality assurance and also paperwork in practice setups [9,10]. Primary prevention refers to intervention prior to the advancement of a hidden disorder, and is attempting to avoid its occurrence and growth. Generally, entails attempts to influence the danger elements, in terms of lowering their effect, as well as strengthen protective elements [11].

Among the most pre-owned methods for primary prevention are education and learning. Primary avoidance of DM 2 involves health and wellness monitoring of individuals in danger classifications [11]. Screening asymptomatic patients along with wellness tracking danger classifications include the following tasks: education and learning regarding diabetes, education and learning about healthy and balanced nourishment as well as physical activity, avoidance and also therapy of obesity, gauges to protect the pancreatic [12,13].

Current study aiming to highlight the strategies of improving diabetes care in primary care setting by family doctors (FDs), and the roles of FDs, to educate the patients in self-management and adherent to early screening and prevention strategies.

Methodology:

Conducted a detailed search among electronic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Google scholar, searching in literature for articles related to diabetes care in primary care. Studies were included as publication up to 2017, September with English language and human subject. Following keywords were used in our search strategies; “Primary care, Family physicians, Family doctors, diabetes care, diabetes mellitus, DM2, management, Guidelines”

Discussion:

- **Improvement Preventionstrategies for diabetes care in primary care:**

Prevention of DM2 can be accomplished with way of living modifications and making use of some medications. There is evidence that combined diet regimen and exercise, along with drug therapy (metformin, acarbose), can be reliable in individuals with IGT in order to stop progression to diabetic issues [14]. Studies showed that, in contrast to the control group, the team with changing way of lives, and a team treated with metformin, dramatically lowered the first body weight, BMI, midsection dimension as well as HbA1C. Some researches recommend that weight-loss of 5 to 10% of the initial body weight throughout the six-month period improved overall metabolic and glycemic control in obese patients with type 2 diabetes [15]. In one more research [16], minimized degrees of not eating glucose and glycosylated

hemoglobin were beyond the referral variety, but it was not enough for the diagnosis of diabetes. The first reduction in body weight, waistline and bmi dimension in our research study was similar to the published outcomes of studies conducted in Finland [17], which was verified to dramatically minimize the threat of diabetic issues connected with way of life alterations, in addition to studies in which was confirmed to lower the risk of diabetic issues connected with a healthy diet plan (31%), workout (46%), and a healthy diet as well as exercise (42%), by research performed in China [18].

In DPP (Diabetes Prevention Program) Study the incidence of diabetes mellitus was lowered by 58% in the team with altering way of life as well as about 31% in the group treated with metformin, as compared to sugar pill team [19]. Some researchers suggest that prevention of diabetes mellitus leads to substantial reduction of atherosclerosis, that is the primary cause of death in people with type 2 diabetes [20,21], which could likewise be investigated in the future.

RCTs have actually shown that individuals at high danger for creating kind 2 diabetic issues (those with IFG, IGT, or both) could considerably lower the rate of start of diabetes mellitus with certain treatments [22,23]. These consist of extensive way of living alteration programs that have actually been revealed to be really efficient (~ 58% decrease after 3 years) as well as use of the pharmacologic representative's metformin α glucosidase p reventions, orlistat, as well as thiazolidinediones, each of which has actually been shown to reduce incident diabetic issues to various levels. Follow-up of 3 huge research studies of way of living treatment has revealed continual reduction in the rate of conversion to type 2 diabetes, with 43% reduction at 20 years in the Da Qing study [24], 43% decrease at 7 years in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) [25], and 34% decrease at 10 years in the United States Diabetes Prevention Program Outcome Study (DPPOS) [26]. A cost-effectiveness version recommended that way of life interventions as delivered in the DPP are cost-efficient, and actual cost data from the DPP and also DPPOS confirm that way of life treatments are very cost-efficient [27,28]. Team distribution of the DPP intervention in neighborhood setups

has the prospective to be dramatically less expensive while still accomplishing similar weight loss [29].

- **Education of Patients adherenceand compliance:**

Earlier research study on compliance/adherence showed that neither the functions of an illness, nor the reference procedure, neither the healing regimen neither the professional setting seem to influence compliance/adherence [30]. No quote of compliance/adherence or non-compliance/non-adherence can be generalized because of the troubles in determining. Poor compliance/adherence is to be expected in 30-- 50 % of all patients, regardless of illness, diagnosis or setting [31,32]. Today, more than 200 various physicians- patient- as well as encounter-related variables have actually been researched however none is regularly pertaining to compliance or completely anticipating. Especially in measurable research studies, little focus has actually been paid to patients' ideas regarding medicines and compliance/adherence. Nevertheless, from qualitative study we understand that one of the most significant influences on compliance/adherence hold your horses' very own ideas concerning drugs as well as regarding medicine generally [30]. Their very own expertise, ideas as well as experiences, in addition to those of family members and also friends, have additionally been revealed to associate with compliance [33].

In order to anticipate and also understand compliance/adherence, the patients' attitude in the direction of illness has actually been researched given that greater than twenty years by means of the wellness belief design [34,35]. Today, new principles of patient involvement, involvement and genuine collaboration are introduced [36]. Restorative interactions with patients ought to not be watched just as chances to reinforce guidelines around therapy: rather, they must be viewed as a space where the expertise of patients as well as health professionals can be pooled to arrive at equally concurred objectives [37]. In primary care, patients highly desire a patient focused strategy, with partnership, interaction and health and wellness promotion [38]. Evidence is raising that involving patients much more in appointments can raise compliance/adherence to treatment.

Patients and caretakers translate indications and signals in a different way [39]. These distinctions in point of view are not naturally bothersome. They frequently end up being so when patients do not meet the objectives and also expectations of their healthcare suppliers. In caregiver's point of view there is an expectation that when they, as authoritative experts, make suggestions, the patient only has the responsibility to bring them out [40]. In addition, caregivers are significantly under pressure from wellness policy makers or managed care organizations to lower the costs of issues by rigorously following treatment guidelines.Inspirational talking to, identified by its compassionate non-confrontive style, assists activity through the stages of adjustment to the "action" phase where engaging in adjustment behavior starts. It is made to aid patients in dealing with as well as discovering uncertainty to enhance inspiration for change. Physicians can make use of the model to interfere effectively at all different degrees of behavioral change, where patients are aided by the model to take obligation for transforming practices [40].

Improving self-management by the help of family physicians:

Patients with DM type 2 must create appropriate routines to preserve long-lasting control as well as minimize the potential complications of this condition. Medical care doctors are in a position to provide consistent, organized care of chronic ailments, to consist of enhancing patients' capability to self-manage their conditions. Numerous models of chronic condition management provide roadmaps for techniques to meet the complex needs of patients with chronic illness. The well-known Chronic Care Model [41]. explains 6 components required for reliable management. One element entails giving self-management assistance for patients [42], a wide idea that encompasses a variety of devices to help patients perform the daily jobs of managing their chronic ailment [42].

One technique to promote self-management assistance is the application of care plans. Numerous academic bodies, including the American Academy of Family Physicians (FPs)/(FDs), recommend using an embellished care strategy as a crucial element of the patient-centered clinical home [43]. Researches have come along in scientific end results second to the use of care strategies [44,45]. An organized review suggests that including care plans as part of a larger intervention to deal with chronic health problems leads to boosted outcomes; nevertheless, there is minimal evidence to review care strategies as a single treatment [44].

The structure of care strategies is different and extra proof is needed to figure out the elements necessary to cause enhanced outcomes [43]. Individualized treatment for patients with diabetes can boost adherence as well as therapy outcomes [44]. A reliable diabetes mellitus care plan ought to be flexible to private patients and reflect evidence-based method standards. Composed

care strategies can work as an approach to better facilitate goal-setting discussions between the company and the patient and permit them to create a suitable treatment strategy [46].

- **Following guideline by family physicians for the treatment of DM:**

According to the present study, almost two-thirds of the family practitioner have the DM2 standard at their disposal, and also the majority of the physicians consider them applicable and utilize it in day-to-day technique. This approaches the results of other studies demonstrating a positive mindset in the direction of CPGs. Eighty-three percent of Israeli family doctor thought the standard could be implemented and also 75% achieved help in the management of patients with DM [47]. Those searching for are dramatically different from several various other studies where standards are available for just about one-fourth or much less of family physicians, while even less still record utilizing them [48,49]. The factor for this may be that medical professionals are occasionally skeptical regarding CPGs, and also they consider them less useful compared to other resources of clinical info, as they are established for lowering health care prices as well as might not apply for private patients and for regional setups [50,51]. The usage of the standard and the expertise regarding it may additionally rely on how CPGs are dispersed and if special are undertaken.

The outcomes of the current study [47]. show that FDs mostly begin treatment at greater FBG degrees and also the number of patients whom they thought about to be made up is reduced. The doctors that had standards and also utilized them reported acting similarly as those who did not. This shows that even if FDs had the appropriate knowledge, a lot of them were reluctant to use it. On the other hand, the understanding that they use may not have been derived from CPGs however from various other sources [47].

The overwhelming majority of the FDs in our research study tended to begin therapy as well as were content with treatment result at higher levels of FBG than advised in the guideline. It has been in a similar way reported that Italian doctors are content with treatment result at rather high FBG degrees [52]. Various other research studies support the suggestion that medical professionals are not completely aware of the suggested requirements of CPGs for intensive blood glucose treatment [53,54]. Features such as method location, method kind, listing size and size of experience in our research study did not predict the glycemic control of kind 2 diabetic person patients, which accompanies the finding of an additional study [55].

Although that the majority of the medical professionals reported using the standard, their expertise of the evaluations as well as tests advised in the guideline were very variable. Blood pressure dimension was followed best, which follows the searching's for from another research study [56]. Of the laboratory tests, the performance of creatinine revealed the best concordance with the standard. In a similar American research, the performance of hbac1, creatinine and proteinuria examinations was greater than 90% and also had actually enhanced compared with the very early nineties [57].

There were considerable distinctions between the performances of the examinations, from underuse to overuse, which might suggest the choices of private medical professionals. A variable causing underuse of laboratory examinations could be an absence of sources, which relies on the healthcare system as well as the economic system [40].

Following the standard may be affected by the guideline's circulation as well as application method. It is evident that a part of Estonian FDs does not have a copy of the standard available therefore the process of equating diabetes guidelines right into technique has happened by diffusion and partial circulation [57]. There is incomplete proof to support decisions concerning

which standard circulation and execution approaches are most likely to be reliable under various situations [58].

Conclusion:

Professional method standards can be taken into consideration reliable only insofar as they cause improved patient care. To this day, diabetes mellitus CPGs appear to have actually had little result on physicians' practices. Research studies such as ours have determined suboptimal diabetes management in family medicine; the challenge now is to create reliable methods to translate CPGs right into technique to improve diabetic issues care in health care. The motivation of the doctor to accomplish an excellent outcome could remain in conflict with the patient's own motivation to lead his very own life. FDs appear to be in need of communication abilities to integrate the numerous assumptions of patients as well as medical professionals concerning diabetes mellitus care.

References:

1. World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Noncommunicable Diseases 2014. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2014.
2. Meltzer S, Leiter L, Daneman D et al. 1998 clinical practice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. Can Med AssocJ 1998; 159 Suppl 8: S1–29.
3. Holman H. Chronic disease – the need for a new clinical education. JAMA. 2004;292:1057–1059.

4. DeVol R, Bedroussian A. An unhealthy America: the economic burden of chronic disease – charting a new course to save lives and increase productivity and economic growth. Milken Institute. October 2007.
5. Kerr CP. Improving outcomes in diabetes: a review of the outpatient care of NIDDM patients. J Fam Pract 1995; 40: 63–75.
6. Bernard AM, Anderson L, Cook CB et al. What do internal medicine residents need to enhance their diabetes care? Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 661–666.
7. Ohkubo Y, Kishikawa H, Araki E et al. Intensive insulin therapy prevents the progression of diabetic microvascular complications in Japanese patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a randomized prospective 6-year study. Diabetes Res ClinPract 1995; 28: 103–117.
8. O'Connor PJ, Rush WA, Peterson J et al. Continuous quality improvement can improve glycemic control for HMO patients with diabetes. Arch Fam Med 1996; 5: 502–506.
9. Chesover D, Tudor-Miles P, Hilton S. Survey and audit of diabetes care in general practice in south London. Br J Gen Pract 1991; 41: 282–285. Google Scholar
10. Walker EA, Wylie-Rosett J, Shamoon H et al. Program development to prevent complications of diabetes. Assessment of barriers in an urban clinic. Diabetes Care 1995; 18: 1291–1293.
11. King H, Dowd JE. Primary prevention of type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia. 1990; 33: 3-8
12. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346: 393-403.
13. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344: 1343-1350.
14. Santaguida PL, Balsmanjenatolerancijaglukozeon C, Hunt D, et al. 2005. Diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). (128): 1-11
15. Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. Lancet. 2009; 374 (9702): 1677-1686

16. Alibasic E, Ramic E, Alic A. Prevention of Diabetes in Family Medicine. *Materia Socio-Medica*. 2013;25(2):80-82. doi:10.5455/msm.2013.25.80-82.
17. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med. 2001; 344: 1343-1350
18. Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance: the Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care. 1997; 20: 537-544
19. The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346: 393-403
20. Gillum RF, Mussolino ME, Madans JH. Diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease incidence, and death from all causes in African American and European American women: the NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study. J ClinEpidemiol. 2000; 53: 511-518
21. Kuller LH, Velentgas P, Barzilay J, Beauchamp NJ, O'Leary DH, Savage PJ. Diabetes mellitus: subclinical cardiovascular disease and risk of incident cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality. ArteriosclerThrombVasc Biol. 2000; 20: 823-829
22. Gerstein HC, Yusuf S, Bosch J, et al. ; DREAM (Diabetes REduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication) Trial Investigators Effect of rosiglitazone on the frequency of diabetes in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet2006;368:1096–1105
23. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V; Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme (IDPP) The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). Diabetologia 2006;49:289–297
24. Li G, Zhang P, Wang J, et al. The long-term effect of lifestyle interventions to prevent diabetes in the China Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study: a 20-year follow-up study. Lancet 2008;371:1783–1789
25. Lindström J, Ilanne-Parikka P, Peltonen M, et al. ; Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group Sustained reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: follow-up of the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. Lancet 2006;368:1673–1679

26. Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, et al. ; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. Lancet 2009;374:1677–1686
27. Herman WH, Hoerger TJ, Brandle M, et al. ; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group The cost-effectiveness of lifestyle modification or metformin in preventing type 2 diabetes in adults with impaired glucose tolerance. Ann Intern Med 2005;142:323–332
28. Herman WH, Edelsrein SL, Ratner RE, et al. The 10-year cost-effectiveness of lifestyle intervention or metformin for primary prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus; an intent-to-treat analysis of diabetes prevention. Presented at 71st Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association, 28 June 2011, San Diego, California
29. Ackermann RT, Finch EA, Brizendine E, Zhou H, Marrero DG. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program into the community. The DEPLOY Pilot Study. Am J Prev Med 2008;35:357–363
30. Anon Working Party from Complinace to concordance. Achieving goals in medicine taking. London, Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain. 1997.
31. Morris LS, Schulz RM. Patient compliance: an overview. J Clin Pharm Ther. 1992;17:283–295.
32. Sackett DL, Snow JC. The magnitude of compliance and non-compliance. In: Haynes RB, Taylor DW, Sackett DL, editor. Compliance in Health Care. Baltimore, The John Hopkins University Press; 1979.
33. Griffith S. A review of the factors associated with compliance and the taking of prescribed medicines. British Journal of General Practice. 1990;40:114–116.
34. Roberson MHB. The meaning of compliance: patient perspectives. Qualitative Health Research. 1992;2:7–22.
35. Alogna M. Perceptions of severity of disease and health locus of control in compliant and non compliant diabetes patients. Diabetes Care. 1980;3:533–534.
36. Boom CAB, Hart LK. The relationship between the health belief model and compliance of persons with diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 1980;3:594–598.
37. Cahill J. Patient participation: a concept analysis. J Advanced nursing. 1996;24:561–571. doi:

38. Bissell P, May CR, Noyce PR. From compliance to concordance: barriers to accomplishing a re-framed model of health care interactions. Social Science & Medicine. 2004;58:851–62. doi: 10.1016/S0277-9536(03)00259-4.
39. Little P, Everitt H, Williamson I, et al. Preferences of patients for patient centred approach to consultation in primary care: observational study. BMJ. 2001;322:468–472. doi: 10.1136/bmj.322.7284.468.
40. Lagro-Janssen ALM, Van der Werf G Th. Hoe sprekenhuisartsenenhunpatiënten over ziekte? Eenzoektocht in de medischeliteratuurnaar het ziektebegrip van huisartsenenpatiënten. HuisartsenWetenschap. 1999;42:539–544.
41. Wagner EH, Austin BT, Davis C, Hindmarsh M, Schaefer J, Bonomi A. Improving chronic illness care: translating evidence into action. Health Affairs (Millwood) 2001;20(6):64–78.
42. Grover A, Joshi A. An overview of chronic disease models: a systematic literature review. Global Journal of Health Science. 2015;7(2):210–227.
43. Lion KC, Mangione-Smith R, Britto MT. Individualized plans of care to improve outcomes among children and adults with chronic illness: a systematic review. Care Management Journals. 2014;15(1):11–25.
44. Pugh L, Duffy L, Stauss M. Patient care plans: an innovative approach to superusers in the emergency department. Journal of Emergency Nursing. 2010;36(4):344–346.
45. Zwar N, Hasan I, Hermiz O, Vagholkar S, Comino E, Harris M. Multidisciplinary care plans and diabetes - benefits for patients with poor glycaemic control. Australian Family Physician. 2008;37(11):960–962.
46. Rocco N, Scher K, Basberg B, Yalamanchi S, Baker-Genaw K. Patient-centered plan-of-care tool for improving clinical outcomes. Quality Management in Health Care. 2011;20(2):89–97.
47. Vinker S, Nakar S, Rosenberg E, Bero-Aloni T, Kitai E. Attitudes of Israeli family physicians toward clinical guidelines. Arch Fam Med. 2000;9:835–40.
48. Wolfe RM, Sharp LK, Wang RM. Family physicians' opinions and attitudes to three clinical practice guidelines. J Am Board Fam Pract. 2004;17:150–7.

49. Feldman EL, Jaffe A, Galambos N, Robbins A, Kelly RB, Froom J. Clinical practice guidelines on depression: awareness, attitudes, and content knowledge among family physicians in New York. Arch Fam Med. 1998;7:58–62.
50. Formoso G, Liberati A, Magrini N. Practice guidelines: useful and "participative" method? Survey of Italian Physicians by professional setting. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161:2037–42. doi: 10.1001/archinte.161.16.2037.
51. Farquhar CM, Kofa EW, Slutsky JR. Clinician' attitude to clinical practice guidelines: a systematic review. MJA. 2002;177:502–6.
52. Wolff M, Bower DJ, Marbella AM, Casanova JE. US family physicians' experiences with practice guideline. Fam Med. 1998;30:117–21.
53. Belfiglio M, De Berardis G, Franciosi M, Cavaliere D, Di Nardo B, Greenfield S, Kaplan SH, Pellegrini F, Sacco M, Tognoni G, Valentini M, Nicolucci A, Caimi V, Capani F, Corsi A, Della Vedova R, Massi Benedetti M, Nicolucci A, Taboga C, Tombesi M, Vespasiani G, QuED Study Group – quality of care and outcomes in type 2 diabetes The relationship between physicians' self-reported target fasting blood glucose levels and metabolic control in type 2 diabetes. The QuED Study Group – quality of care and outcomes in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:423–9.
54. Tuttleman M, Lipsett L, Harris MI. Attitudes and behaviors of primary care physicians regarding tight control of blood glucose in IDDM patients. Diabetes Care. 1993;16:765–72.
55. Hansen LJ, OlivariusNde F, Siersma V, Andersen JS. Doctors' characteristics do not predict long-term glycemic control in type 2 diabetic patients. Br J of Gen Pract. 2003;53:47–9.
56. Drass J, Kell S, Osborn M, Bausell B, Corcoran J, Jr, Moskowitz A, Fleming B. Diabetes care for Medicare beneficiaries. Attitudes and behaviors of primary care physicians. Diabetes Care. 1998;21:1282–87.
57. Davis DA, Taylor-Vaisey A. Translating guidelines into practice. A systematic review of theoretical concepts, practical experience and research evidence in the adaptation of clinical practice guidelines. Can Med Assoc J. 1997;157:408–16.
58. Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE, MacLennan G, Fraser C, Ramsay CR, Vale L, Whitty P, Eccles MP, Matowe L, Shirran L, Wensing M, Dijkstra R, Donaldson C. Effectiveness and efficiency

of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies. Health Technology Assessment. 2004;8:1–72. iii-iv.